## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Larry	Р.	Ra	ymer	
	Pe	titio	ner	

V.

Case No. 1:05-cv-674

Charles E. Samuels, Jr., Respondent

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation filed August 1, 2006 (Doc. 9).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. See United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). As of the date of this Order, no objections have been filed to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.

Having reviewed this matter de novo pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, we find the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to be correct.

Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ADOPTED** as follows:

1) Respondent's motion to dismiss is **GRANTED** and petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

- 2) A certificate of appealability will not issue, because petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right remediable in this federal habeas corpus proceeding. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) and Fed.R.App.P. 22(b).
- 3) This Court certifies that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) an appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore DENIES petitioner leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 24(a); *Kincade v. Sparkman*, 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997). Petitioner remains free to apply to proceed *in forma pauperis* in this Court of Appeals.

## SO ORDERED.

Date: August 23, 2006 s/Sandra S. Beckwith

Sandra S. Beckwith, Chief Judge United States District Court